



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/721,875	11/26/2003	Takaaki Endo	00862.023325	6094
	7590 06/21/2007 CELLA HARPER & SC	EXAMINER		
30 ROCKEFEI	LLER PLAZA	LIEW, ALEX KOK SOON		
NEW YORK, NY 10112			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2624	,
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/21/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
		10/721,875	ENDO ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Alex Liew	2624			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
WHIC - Exten after: - If NO - Failur Any r	CRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE of the may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUN 6(a). In no event, however, may a fill apply and will expire SIX (6) MC cause the application to become	ICATION. a reply be timely filed ONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)⊠	1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>26 November 2003</u> .					
,—	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.			
Dispositi	on of Claims	•				
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-13</u> is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>1-9,12 and 13</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) <u>10 and 11</u> is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o					
Applicati	on Papers					
10) 🖾	The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on <u>26 November 2003</u> is/a Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	re: a)⊠ accepted or b) drawing(s) be held in abey ion is required if the drawir	ance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) <u> </u>	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureau See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in rity documents have bee u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No en received in this National Stage			
2) Notice 3) Information	e of References Cited (PTO-892) te of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) te No(s)/Mail Date	Paper N	v Summary (PTO-413) o(s)/Mail Date f Informal Patent Application			

Art Unit: 2624

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Objections

Claims 10 and 11 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

The examiner cannot find applicable prior art and / or suggestion disclosing conversions based on the first and second conversion conditions are weighted depending on distances from right and left ends of the first image <u>in combination with</u> all of the limitations of claim 9.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1 9, 12 and 13 are rejected over U.S.C 103(a) as unpatentable over Xiong (US pat no 6,754,379) in view of Duiker (US pat no 6,983,082).

With regards to claim 2, Xiong discloses an image processing method for generating a panoramic image by compositing first and second images comprising acquiring the first and second images and a reference image which has overlapping portions with both of

Art Unit: 2624

the first and second images (see figure 1a – where the first and second images are those that overlaps with each other and with reference, example is shown in figure 11a, where the reference image is image N, the first and second are image 1 and image N – 1, respectively) and generating the panoramic image by compositing an image obtained by from acquiring first and second means (see figure 1b – shows the final composite image after combining / stitching plurality of panoramic images – the combining of images are done by blending shown in figure 3).

Xiong suggests while blending the panoramic images together, adjusting the intensity of the images so all the images has uniform pixel intensities (see column 16 lines 19 – 24), but does not disclose calculating conversions of panoramic images to the brightness or hue to the brightness or hue of a reference image. Duiker discloses calculating a first conversion condition required to adjust a brightness level of the first image to a brightness level of the reference image (see figure 2B – 252 produces image from camera 1, then the intensities image from camera 1 is adjusted using a correction rule determined by a reference object image shown in figure 1 – 100 to 112) and calculating a second conversion condition required to adjust a brightness level of the second image to a brightness level of the reference (see figure 2B – 253 produces image from camera 2, then the intensities image from camera 2 is adjusted using a correction rule determined by a reference object image shown in figure 1 – 100 to 112 and column 5 lines 8 – 10). One skill in the art would include steps of correcting image brightness because to prevent brightness discontinuity from one panoramic image to another

Art Unit: 2624

panoramic image, resulting in good quality panoramic final image (see Xiong column 16 lines 19 – 24).

With regards to claim 1, see the rationale and rejection for claim 2.

With regards to claim 3, Xiong and Duiker disclose all the limitations discussed in claim 1, but do not explicitly disclose taking the all panoramic images at the same time. However, it is well known in the art to image panoramic / stereoscopic images at the same time (MPEP 2144.03). One skill in the art would include a step capturing panoramic images at the same time because to increase flexibility of the imaging system to capture the dynamics of the scene where people are within the images.

With regards to claim 4, Xiong discloses a method according to claim 2, wherein the panoramic image is generated by further compositing a third image (see figure 1a – there are more than two panoramic images).

With regards to claims 5-8, see the rationale and rejection for claim 2. In addition, see figure 2-210, shown a computer, where a computer includes programs stored in storage medium to perform the algorithms discussed in claim 2.

With regards to claim 9, see the rationale and rejection for claim 2. In addition, see figure 11a of Xiong, where there are more than three images shown, '...' indicating

Art Unit: 2624

0011101114111501: 10/721,01

more images will exist, and repeating brightness conversion of the images using plurality of object reference images is taught by Duiker, shown in figure 1 and 2. See the motivation for claim 2.

With regards to claims 12 and 13, see the rejection and rationale for claim 9.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Alex Liew whose telephone number is (571)272-8623. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:30AM - 7:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Joseph Mancuso can be reached on (571)272-7695. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 2624

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Alex Liew AU2624 4/29/07

> OSEPH MANCUSO PATENT EXAMINER